or ferrocyanide anions. Thus, complexation between either of these complex ions and **8** is either very weak **w** else **does** not significantly pertub the absorption spectrum of **8.** 

- (60) We also note that ref **22** reports different isolated yields of product from the various isotopically labelled 1,3dimethylpyridinium cations.
- (61) (a) L. P. Hammett, "Physical Organic Chemistry", McGraw-Hill, New York,<br>N.Y., 1940, p 350; (b) J. Hine, "Physical Organic Chemistry", McGraw-Hill,<br>New York, N.Y.. 1962, p 267; (c) C. K. Ingold, "Structure and Mechanis
- **1029. (62)** J. B. Conant, J. **I;.** Aston, and C. 0. Tongberg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* **52,407**  ( **1930).**
- **(63)** P. T. Speakman and M. **A.** Waters, *J. Chem. SOC.,* **40 (1955).**
- **(64) V.** N. Singh, M. P. Singh, and **6.** B. L. Saxena, *lndian J. Chem.,* **8, 529**
- **(65)** P. **S.** Radhakrishnamurti and S. Devi, *lndian J. Chem.,* **10, 496 (1972). (1970).**
- **(66) V.** N. Si@, **M.** C. Gangwar, B. B. L. Saxena, and M. P. Singh, **Can.** *J. Chem.,*  **47, 1051 (1969).**
- **(67) R.** P. Bell and P. T. McTigue, *J. Chem. SOC.,* **2983 (1960).**
- (68) J. J. Padbury and H. G. Lindwall, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **67,** 1268 (1945).<br>(69) W. Solomon, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 129 (1947).<br>(70) W. R. Schleigh, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.*, **9**, 675 (1972).<br>(71) C. G. Le Fèvre and R. J. W. Le
- 
- 
- 

# **Mechanism for the Reaction Involving Dimethyl Sulfoxide and Acetyl Chloride Studied by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy**

Michael Cocivera,\* Vincenzo Malatesta, Kyu W. Woo,<sup>1a</sup> and Adan Effio

*Gurjlph- Waterloo Centre for Graduate Work in Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada* 

## *Received August 8,1977*

The kinetics of the reaction between dimethyl sulfoxide (Me<sub>2</sub>SO) and acetyl chloride (AcCl) have been studied using the nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy of both static and flowing liquids at 30 °C in either benzene or methylene chloride solutions. The decay of Me<sub>2</sub>SO and AcCl follows mainly second-order kinetics, first order each in MezSO and AcCl. The growth of the main products, acetic acid and chloromethyl methyl sulfide, appears to be mainly second order also, although the overall reaction is complicated by several side reactions that compete to a lesser extent with the main path. These side reactions generate three previously unreported compounds in small amounts, namely, acetoxymethyl methyl sulfide, acetic anhydride, and chlorodimethylsulfonium chloride. Both the anhydride and the sulfonium salt are unstable under the reaction conditions and eventually disappear, with the salt having the faster rate. When  $Me_2SOd_6$  replaces  $Me_2SO$ , a primary deuterium isotope effect is observed, indicating the presence of an intermediate. This conclusion is supported by the observation that acetic anhydride and the sulfonium salt appear to be generated by trapping reactions. A mechanism to account for these observations is proposed.

### **Introduction**

The chemistry of dimethyl sulfoxide  $(Me<sub>2</sub>SO)$  has been under study for a number of years, and much is known about its nucleophilic properties.<sup>1b</sup> However, in the case of addition to acyl halides, conclusions concerning the reaction mechanism are based on only the nature of the products that could be identified.2 No kinetic data seem to be available, and none of the proposed intermediates have been detected in solution at room temperature. In the present paper, we report a study of the kinetics of the reaction between Me2SO and acetyl chloride (AcC1) in benzene and in methylene chloride, studied using nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR). In each solvent the rate of reaction appears to be second order, first order each in Me<sub>2</sub>SO and ACT. The main products of this reaction are acetic acid (AcOH) and chloromethyl methyl sulfide (CMMS), as reported earlier.<sup>2</sup> However, small amounts of two other compounds, acetoxymethyl methyl sulfide (AMMS) and acetic anhydride  $(Ac<sub>2</sub>O)$ , are also generated during the reaction, and their amounts depend on the reaction conditions. In addition, a transient signal is observed in the frequency region expected for a dimethylsulfonium salt. A mechanism is proposed to account for these observations as well as the occurrence of a primary deuterium isotope effect for the disappearance of the reactants and the growth of the products.

# **Experimental Section**

Chemicals. Me<sub>2</sub>SO, Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub>, AcCl, benzene, and methylene chloride were obtained from commercial sources. Me<sub>2</sub>SO and AcCl were distilled immediately before solution preparation. Benzene and

methylene chloride were dried over molecular sieves, distilled, and stored over additional molecular sieves.

**Kinetic Studies.** The time dependence of the proton NMR spectrum was measured at  $30 \pm 2$  °C using a Varian A-60 or HA-100-15 for the slower rates and an HA-100-15 equipped with a flow system<sup>3</sup> for the faster rates. Solutions of each reactant were prepared gravimetrically, and equal volumes were mixed (outside the magnet for the static runs and inside the magnet via a mixing chamber for the flow runs). Temperature regulation was not as precise as for other studies using the HA-100 flow system because the thermostated reservoir was left out to decrease solution volume.

In a complementary study, a Beckman IR-12 was used to measure the time dependence of infrared absorption bands due to  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub>$  $(1050 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  and ACT (950 and 1900 cm<sup>-1</sup>) at about 25 °C after mixing equal volumes of a benzene solution containing  $0.4 M_{2}S_0$ -d<sub>6</sub> and one containing 0.4 M ACT.

#### **Results**

The  $CH_3$  region of the proton NMR spectra of a nonspinning sample obtained at 100 MHz after mixing but before completion of the reaction is given in Figure 1 to illustrate the solvent dependence of the reactant and product signals. The series of spectra given for benzene solvent consists of five consecutive scans from left to right with pauses ranging from **<sup>30</sup>**s to several minutes. The first scan (labeled 1) gives CH3-proton resonances for Me2SO (labeled d) and AcCl (labeled a). Scan **2** illustrates an additional central signal (labeled c,h), which is a superposition of the AcOH (labeled h) and CMMS (labeled c)  $CH_3$ -proton resonances. The AcOH signal position as well as its intensity is time dependent moving upfield in scans **2,3,4,** and **5,** in which it is at higher field than the ACT signal. Its final position is upfield from the AcCl

0022-3263/78/1943-1140\$01.00/0 *0* 1978 American Chemical Society





**Figure 1.** Successive scans of the  $CH_3$ -proton resonance region at 30 "C and 100 MHz during the reaction after the flow had been stopped with pauses from 30 s up to several minutes obtained without spinning. For each solvent, the first scan is labeled 1. For benzene, the initial concentrations were 0.070 M MezSO and 0.066 M AcC1. For methylene chloride, the initial concentrations were 0.103 M MezSO and 0.091 M AcC1, and the spectra were obtained at lower rf power than that used for the benzene solution. The assignments of these  $CH_3$ -proton resonances are: Me<sub>2</sub>SO, d; AcCl, a; CMMS, c; add AcOH, h.

Table I. Chemical-Shift Values (Hz) for the CH<sub>3</sub>-Proton Resonances **of** MepSO and AcOH Relative to tert-Butyl Alcohol in Benzene at **30** "C

[ACOH], M	[Me <sub>2</sub> SO], M	$\delta$ AcOH	$\delta_{\text{Me}_2\text{SO}}$
0.202		83	
	0.07		93.2
	0.31		99.5
	0.92		108.4
0.100	0.146	98	102.5
0.104	0.501	103	111.2
0.106	0.820	104	115.8

position by about 10 Hz. This time dependence for the AcOH signal position results from the fact that the AcOH chemical shift in benzene depends on the concentration of  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$ , as illustrated in Table I, which lists the chemical shifts for MezSO and AcOH relative to *tert-* butyl alcohol. Thus, as the reaction progresses the concentration of Me2SO decreases and the AcOH signal moves upfield. This conclusion is also supported by the fact that the position of the CH<sub>3</sub>-proton resonance of AcOH is fixed downfield if a sufficient excess of  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub>$  is present.<sup>4</sup> The CH<sub>3</sub>- and CH<sub>2</sub>-proton resonances due to CMMS appear to be independent of Me<sub>2</sub>SO concentration, and the CH<sub>2</sub>-proton resonance is observed at lower field at 2.43 ppm from the CH<sub>3</sub>-proton resonance. In addition

Figure **2.** Spectra of the CH3-proton resonance region obtained at 30 "C and 100 MHz during the reaction using spinning samples for methylene chloride solutions having substantially larger initial concentrations of reactants than Figure 1, namely, 0.64 M MezSO and 0.32 M AcCl. The signal labeled e is due to the  $CH_3$ -proton resonance of AczO and those labeled fare due to acetoxymethyl methyl sulfide with the upper-field signal assigned to the acetoxy CH<sub>3</sub>. The field strength increases from left to right. See Figure 1 for the other assignments.

a transient signal is observed 1.48-ppm downfield from the  $CH<sub>3</sub>$ -proton resonance of CMMS. No other transient signals were observed. Because the AcOH signal moves into other signals, its time dependence could not be determined accurately in benzene. For this reason, the reaction was also studied in methylene chloride, a solvent in which the AcOH signal position is only slightly dependent on  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$  concentration. As illustrated in Figure 1, the chemical shifts for AcCl and Me<sub>2</sub>SO are very close in this solvent. In fact, the Me<sub>2</sub>SO signal position is somewhat concentration dependent and it moves into the AcCl signal as the reaction progresses. However, the time dependence for the  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$  and AcCl signals could still be determined as accurately as in benzene when spinning samples were used to provide better resolution. The proton resonance (not illustrated) of a transient could also be observed 1.48-ppm downfield from the CMMS  $CH<sub>3</sub>$  signal. The CMMS  $CH<sub>2</sub>$ -proton signal could be observed, although it is close to the methylene chloride signal, 0.6-ppm upfield. The higher resolution spectra obtained with spinning samples permit the observation of additional signals. Sample spectra obtained during the reaction are illustrated in Figure 2 for the reaction of AcCl with Me<sub>2</sub>SO (Figure 2A) and with Me<sub>2</sub>SO- $d_6$ (Figure **2B).** In addition to the signals identified above, three



**Figure 3.** Integrated areas of the signals due to AcCl and the transient at various times during the reaction in methylene chloride at 30 "C. The initial concentrations were: **A,** 0.64 M MezSO and 0.32 M AcC1; B, 0.256 M Me<sub>2</sub>SO and 0.128 M AcCl.

new signals are observed, and they are assigned to the CH3 proton resonances of acetic anhydride  $(Ac<sub>2</sub>O)$  (labeled e) and acetoxymethyl methyl sulfide (labeled f) (AMMS). The assignment for AMMS was confirmed by reacting  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$  with Ac<sub>2</sub>O. Di-tert-butyl ketone is used as an internal reference. The intensity of these signals depends on the conditions employed. Thus, the intensity of the signals due to AMMS increase relative to the AcOH signal as the concentration of MezSO is increased. For example, if the initial AcCl concentration is held constant at 0.126 M, the intensity ratio, AMMS/AcOH, after AcCl has disappeared is 0.06 and 0.10 for initial Me<sub>2</sub>SO concentrations of 0.146 and 0.256 M, respectively. Further, when these solutions contain HC1, AMMS is not detected, and when  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$  is replaced by  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub>$  the downfield signal of AMMS disappears but the AMMS/AcOH concentration ratio remains about the same as that for undeuterated Me<sub>2</sub>SO.

Although acetic anhydride reacts with  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$ , a similar intensity comparison is possible because its rate of reaction is substantially slower than that for AcCl; i.e., the AcCl reaction is essentially complete before an appreciable amount of acetic anhydride has reacted. In this case, we find that the acetic anhydride intensity increases relative to the AcOH intensity as the AcCl concentration increases. For example, when the initial  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$  concentration is fixed at 0.15 M, the intensity ratio,  $Ac_2O/AcOH$ , is 0.020 and 0.048 for initial concentrations of 0.13 and 0.32 M AcC1, respectively. In addition, replacing Me<sub>2</sub>SO by Me<sub>2</sub>SO- $d_6$  causes an increase in this ratio from 0.017 to 0.080 when the initial concentrations of AcCl and Me2SO are held constant at 0.32 and 0.64 M, respectively. As in the case of AMMS, acetic anhydride is not detected when HC1 is present in the solution.

The intensity of the transient signal and its time dependence also depend on the initial concentration of each reactant, as illustrated in Figure 3, which presents the area rather than intensity as a function of time. Areas are used because the line width of the transient increases as the concentration of each reactant is increased. As illustrated in this figure,





<sup>a</sup> Concentration ratio.  $b$  0.32 M AcCl, 1.28 M Me<sub>2</sub>SO initially.  $c$  0.128 M AcCl, 0.256 M Me<sub>2</sub>SO initially.

doubling the concentration of each reactant not only increases the maximum area observed for the transient, but also decreases the time period in which the maximum is attained. This rate of growth of the transient appears related to the rate of disappearance of AcCl and Me2S0, as can be seen from the time dependence for the intensity of AcC1; i.e., AcCl disappears more rapidly at the higher concentration. Furthermore, the rate of disappearance of the transient increases relative to that for AcCl when the excess concentration of MezSO is increased. In the presence of HC1, the transient appears to reach a larger maximum in a shorter time, and it disappears faster after AcCl has disappeared. However, the signal is substantially broader than in the absence of HC1 and these results must be considered qualitative. The spectrum obtained using  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub>$  in place of  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$  provides information concerning the structure of the compound responsible for this transient signal. First, the transient signal is not observed in this case.'Second, no acetoxy- $CH<sub>3</sub>$  signal is observed for this transient compound under these conditions, which provide a better opportunity for its detection than the  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$  mixtures do, since the  $CH_3$  signals due to  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$  and CMMS are absent. To further probe the structure of this transient, a spectrum was obtained for a solution of  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$  in  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ containing various amounts of dry HCl. Aside from the  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  signal and one due to the reference (di-tert-butyl ketone), the spectrum consists of only one signal that moves downfield as the concentration of HC1 is increased. However, even at the highest concentration of HC1 employed, this signal has not reached the same chemical shift as observed for the transient generated by reaction of AcCl with Me<sub>2</sub>SO. In addition, under these conditions, in the absence of AcCl  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$ appears to react slowly; Le., after about *2* h approximately **4%**  of the Me2SO has been converted to CMMS.

In another control experiment, 0.16 M AcCl was mixed with 0.13 M AcOH. These compounds react with each other to form acetic anhydride. After approximately *5* min equilibrium is attained, and the equilibrium constant is  $2.2 \times 10^{-3}$  at 30  $^{\circ}$ C.

The time dependence for the growth of AcOH does not coincide exactly with that for the growth of CMMS, as indicated in Table 111, which illustrates the ratio (AcOH/CMMS) of concentrations as a function of time. For a solution containing 0.32 M AcCl and 1.28 M MezSO, the concentration of AcOH increases more rapidly and reaches it maximum value sooner than the CMMS concentration does, even though the final AcOH/CMMS concentration ratio is close to 1. Thus, AcOH grows at a somewhat larger rate than does CMMS. This difference in rates between AcOH and CMMS is enhanced when HC1 is present, **as** illustrated in Table **11,** which indicates

Table **111.** Second-Order Rate Constant **for** the Reaction of Me<sub>2</sub>SO with AcCl at 30 °C

[Me <sub>2</sub> SO] <sup>a</sup>	[ACCl] <sup>a</sup>	$k_{\text{obsd}} \times 10^2$ M $^{-1}$ s <sup>-1</sup>			
м	М	Me <sub>2</sub> SO	$_{\rm AcCl}$	$_{\rm AcOH}$	
Benzene					
0.067	0.108	$d_6{}^b$	$1.0 \pm 0.2$	$1.1 \pm 0.3$	
0.067	0.216	$\mathrm{d}_{6}$	$1.2 \pm 0.2$		
0.086	0.069	$d_6$	$1.8 \pm 0.4$	$1.7 \pm 0.4$	
0.127	0.063	$d_{6}$	$1.6 \pm 0.2$	$1.5 \pm 0.4$	
0.175	0.075	d <sub>6</sub>	$1.6 \pm 0.4$	$1.6 \pm 0.4$	
0.050	0.038	$9 \pm 1.5$	$9.4 \pm 0.8$		
0.070	0.077	$6.0 \pm 0.9$	$5.7 \pm 0.9$		
0.070	0.173	$7.8 \pm 0.7$	$6 \pm 1$	$4.9 \pm 0.7$ <sup>c</sup>	
0.103	0.070	$6.0 \pm 0.8$	$8 \pm 1$		
0.125	0.066	$9.3 \pm 0.8$	$7.3 \pm 0.7$		
0.151	0.111	$5.4 \bullet 0.9$	$5.0 \pm 0.7$		
0.202	0.156	$4.8 \pm 0.7$	$4.5 \pm 0.6$		
Methylene chloride					
0.64	0.32	$\mathrm{d}\mathrm{s}^b$	0.53	0.43	
0.146	0.128	$2.2\,$	2.5	2.8	
0.297	0.128	2.3	2.9	2.7	
0.64	0.32			2.6	
$0.256$ <sup>d</sup>	0.128	12.3	12.0	8.6	
$0.256^{e}$	0 1 2 8	0.99	0.80	0.92	

*a* Initial concentration after mixing equal volumes of solutions.  $b$  Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub>.  $c$  AcOH signal overlaps with several signals during the reaction. Consequently, this value is obtained from only four intensity values and should be considered to be only an approximation. <sup>d</sup> Lower concentration of HCl present. <sup>e</sup> Higher concentration of HCl present. Based on downfield shift of MezSO resonance.

that the maximum value for the ratio AcOH/CMMS is substantially larger than that obtained in the absence of HC1. This relative acceleration by HCl may be somewhat larger than indicated in the table because HCl reacts with  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$  to form CMMS as mentioned above. In fact, if the solution is allowed to stand overnight, the concentration of CMMS continues to grow and exceeds that for AcOH. In addition, the rate of disappearance of MezSO and AcCl as well as the rate of growth of AcOH and CMMS are affected by the presence of HC1 in a complicated manner. Thus, when present at a lower concentration, HC1 accelerates the rate for the reactants and products relative to that in its absence. On the other hand, at a higher concentration of HC1, the rate is retarded somewhat and becomes smaller than that measured at the lower concentration of HCl, as can be seen from the rate constants presented below. The quantitative effect of HC1 on the rates cannot be determined from our results because the absolute concentration of HCl was not measured. Instead, the relative concentration of HCl in each solution was determined qualitatively from the extent of the downfield shift of the MezSO CHa-proton resonance.

The time dependence of the various signals has been used to calculate first- and second-order rate constants. For reasons presented in the discussion, we conclude that the rate has mainly a second-order dependence, and values are listed as *kobsd* in Table 111. The values listed for benzene are averages of at least two runs, whereas those given for methylene chloride are calculated from one each.

# **Discussion**

As mentioned above, the data were analyzed in terms of first- and second-order rate expressions, and the results indicate that the reaction is more consistent with second-order kinetics, first order each in MezSO and AcC1. This conclusion is based on the fact that the second-order rate constant exhibits no systematic concentration dependence, whereas the first-order rate constant does. For example, when the initial concentration of Me2S0 is held constant at *0.070* M, doubling the AcCl concentration from 0.077 to 0.173 M has only a slight effect on the value for the second-order rate constant (see Table 111) whereas the first-order rate constant increases from  $4.4 \times 10^{-3}$  to  $12 \times 10^{-3}$  s<sup>-1</sup> when benzene is the solvent. When methylene chloride is the solvent and the initial concentration of AcCl is held constant at 0.128 M, doubling the MezSO concentration from 0.146 to 0.297 M has little effect on the second-order rate constant (Table 11) but increases the firstorder rate constant by a factor of 2.1.

The second-order rate of reaction indicates that the time dependence of the signals assigned to the reactants is, in fact, the dependence for the respective reactants. In other words, the observed CH<sub>3</sub>-proton resonances labeled d and a are not due to an intermediate arising from a rapid addition of Me<sub>2</sub>SO to AcC1, since the decay of such an intermediate would be expected to exhibit a first-order dependence, which does not appear to be the case. This conclusion is supported by the chemical shifts of the resonances. If an intermediate were formed, the signals labeled d and a should be shifted relative to the  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$  and  $AcCl CH<sub>3</sub>$  signals. However, as can be seen in Figure 1, no additional signal is observed when MezSO is present in excess. Likewise, no additional signal is observed when AcCl is present in excess. As an additional check of this conclusion, the reaction was studied using infrared spectroscopy. One minute after mixing equal volumes of **0.4** M AcCl in benzene with  $0.4 M \text{Me}_2\text{SO-}d_6$  in benzene, the infrared spectrum contained the 950- and 1900-cm<sup>-1</sup> bands for AcCl and the 1050-cm<sup>-1</sup> band for Me<sub>2</sub>SO- $d_6$ . These bands decay with a time dependence that is very close to that observed in the NMR study. To our knowledge, the only reported kinetic study concerning acid halides is one involving the reaction of MezSO with 5-dimethylaminonaphthalene-1-sulfonyl chloride in ethyl ether.5 The ultraviolet spectrum was followed as a function of time for a solution containing a large excess of Me<sub>2</sub>SO, and it was concluded that the reaction is bimolecular.

Comparison of the  $k_{\mathrm{obsd}}$  values for Me<sub>2</sub>SO and Me<sub>2</sub>SO- $d_6$ in Table I1 indicates the occurrence of a deuterium isotope effect in both solvents. Although the values for *kobsd* fluctuate to some extent for both types of determinations, the ratio  $k_H/k_D$  is at least 4 or greater, indicating a primary deuterium isotope effect for the disappearance of the reactants and the formation of AcOH (and also CMMS). Consequently, the rate-limiting step for the disappearance of reactants and the formation of AcOH involve a transfer of the  $\text{SCH}_3$  proton. This information along with the time dependence for the transient relative to that for AcCl indicate that the transient is not an intermediate in the main path of the reaction and is generated by a minor competitive pathway. This conclusion is based on the following argument. If the transient is an intermediate resulting from the reaction of AcCl with  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$ , the rate-limiting step in the formation of products must occur after the formation step for this transient; i.e., an intermediate would not be observed if its formation were involved in the rate-limiting step. Consequently, the deuterium isotope effect would be associated with the decomposition of the transient. As a result, to account for the fact that the decay of reactants is subject to this isotope effect, the reactants would have to be in equilibrium with the transient if it were an intermediate. However, the reactants are not in equilibrium with the transient, since a fixed relationship is not maintained between their concentrations during the reaction; i.e., the concentrations do not conform to an equilibrium constant during the period of reaction, as can be seen in Figure 3. Thus, at the lower initial concentration of reactants, the transient has a smaller concentration than AcCl during most of the reaction but can still be detected after AcCl has disappeared completely. This crossover in concentrations would not be expected if the transient were in equilibrium with the reactants. At a higher initial concentration for the reactants, no crossover occurs because the decay of the transient is faster, presumably because it is catalyzed by  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$ . For convenience, the structure of this transient will be discussed after the proposed mechanism is presented.

Since this transient is not an intermediate in the main path for product formation, the mechanism given in Scheme I is proposed to account for all of the observations given above. In this scheme, IN1 and IN2 are intermediates that are in equilibrium with the reactants. On the basis of product studies, the possibility that these intermediates could occur in this reaction was suggested previously.2b IN1 is suggested to be an ion pair; however, it could have a sulfurane structure similar to that suggested for the analogous tert-butoxy compound6 and no alteration in the mechanism would be required. The ion pair structure indicated for IN2 is suggested because it provides a chemically reasonable route to Ac2O **as** discussed below. Neither IN1 nor IN2 are observed, requiring that the equilibrium constant be small. The rate-limiting step in this mechanism, the decomposition of IN2, is consistent with the observations presented above. Thus, the main path is the formation of AcOH plus CMMS according to the left-hand step, which involves the removal of the  $\text{SCH}_3$  proton and, therefore, is consistent with the primary deuterium isotope effect observed for the formation of the products. Furthermore, the preequilibrium can explain why this isotope effect is also observed for the decomposition of the reactants.

The reaction steps proceeding to the right can account for the formation of the minor products. Consequently, the observation of these products provides support for the occurrence of an intermediate. The formation of AMMS is accompanied by the formation of HC1, which is probably associated with  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$ . That  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$  acts as a base in this step is supported by the fact that the concentration ratio, AMMS/ AcOH, increases as the concentration of Me<sub>2</sub>SO is increased. This result also indicates that a base such as  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$  may be less important for proton removal in the main reaction path, i.e., the formation of AcOH plus CMMS. In addition, for the initial concentrations of  $0.32$  M AcCl and  $0.64$  M Me<sub>2</sub>SO, this concentration ratio is unchanged at 0.19 when Me2SO is replaced by  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub>$ , as might be expected for the mechanism in Scheme I since AMMS and AcOH are each formed via rate-limiting steps that involve removal of the SCH<sub>3</sub> proton. The fact that AMMS is not observed in the presence of added HC1 provides additional support for an intermediate. Thus, the intermediate is trapped by HCI faster than it can react to form AMMS.

According to Scheme I,  $Ac_2O$  is formed by trapping IN2



with AcC1. This path is consistent with the fact that the concentration ratio  $Ac_2O/AcOH$  increases as the concentration of AcCl is increased. Furthermore, this path can account for the substantial increase in Ac<sub>2</sub>O concentration when  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$ is deuterated. Thus, since the reaction of AcCl with IN2 does not involve proton removal, the rate of this reaction should be unaffected when  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$  is deuterated, whereas the rates for the formation of AcOH + CMMS and AMMS + HC1 are retarded. Consequently, deuteration of MezSO makes the trapping of  $IN_2$  by AcCl more competitive with the main path for the decomposition of IN2. Furthermore, at a fixed initial concentration of reactants, the fraction of  $Ac_2O$  in the products increases approximately by a factor of the deuterium isotope effect when Me<sub>2</sub>SO is deuterated, as would be expected since the  $Ac_2O$  path makes a very small contribution in the nondeuterated case; i.e., the rate constant for this path is substantially smaller than those for the other paths in the nondeuterated case. The Ac<sub>2</sub>O results are also the reason for the suggested structure for IN2. Thus, since these results indicate that AcCl traps an intermediate to generate Ac<sub>2</sub>O, trapping IN2 seems more reasonable than trapping IN1 (or the chlorosulfurane) for which larger steric interactions are expected; i.e.,  $AcO^-$  is expected to be better than IN1 as a nucleophile for AcC1.

The possibility that  $Ac_2O$  is formed via reaction between AcOH and AcCl must also be considered. As indicated above, this reaction proceeds to equilibrium, and it is possible to estimate an upper limit to the concentration ratio  $Ac_2O/AcOH$ .<sup>7</sup> For a solution containing 0.64 M Me<sub>2</sub>SO and 0.32 M AcCl initially, this upper limit (0.022) is comparable to the observed value (0.017). Although the calculated and experimental results appear to agree in this case, the agreement is very poor when  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$  is replaced by  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub>$  for which the observed ratio is 0.08, a factor of 3.6 larger than the calculated value. Furthermore, the disparity is larger if this analysis is made using data obtained earlier in the  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub>$  reaction. Thus, after about 100 s of reaction, the calculated  $Ac_2O$  concentration is a factor of 4.6 smaller than the observed value, i.e., 0.0038 vs. 0.0173 M, indicating that the  $Ac_2O$  is formed somewhat more rapidly at the beginning of the reaction when AcCl is present in higher concentration, a result expected for a trapping reaction. Consequently, a reaction path involving AcCl and AcOH can, at best, account for only a small part of the Ac<sub>2</sub>O formed when Me<sub>2</sub>SO- $d_6$  is a reactant. Its contribution in the Me2SO case may be smaller than indicated above, since the Me<sub>2</sub>SO-AcCl reaction is almost complete in 3 min, a time shorter than the 5-min time period observed for the AcC1-AcOH-AczO equilibration at comparable concentration, namely, 0.13 M AcOH and 0.16 M AcC1.

As indicated in Scheme I, chlorodimethylsulfonium chloride is generated in the same step as  $\rm Ac_2O$  is generated. We suggest that the transient signal is due to the methyl protons of this sulfonium salt and will discuss the reasons for this conclusion below. This salt may also be generated along with AcOH via reaction of HC1 with IN2 as indicated in Scheme I. This path is proposed to account for the observations that AcOH is generated slightly more rapidly than CMMS and that the presence of added HC1 enhances this difference in rate (Table 111). As indicated, the overall scheme is self-consistent, since HCl is generated in another step.<sup>8</sup> Furthermore, the bottom step in this scheme can account for the fact that CMMS eventually attains the same concentration as AcOH. Thus, the chloromethylsulfonium salt reacts more slowly than IN2 to form CMMS. Me<sub>2</sub>SO is indicated as a base in this step, since the transient signal seems to disappear more rapidly at higher concentrations of Me2SO (Figure 3). The effect of HC1 on the overall rate of disappearance of reactants will be discussed below.

The assignment of the CH<sub>3</sub>-protons of the chloromethyl-

sulfonium salt to the transient signal is based mainly on the fact that only one transient signal is observed with a chemical shift comparable to those for oxysulfonium salts. Thus, relative to tetramethylsilane, the transient chemical shift is **3.75**  ppm in methylene chloride, and for the methyls of dimethyl-tert- butoxysulfonium salts they range from 3.43 to 3.78 ppm. $6$  Although the SCH<sub>3</sub> proton resonance of IN1 is expected to lie in the same region, this structure is precluded because the corresponding acyl- $CH<sub>3</sub>$  resonance expected for this structure is not observed. Furthermore, assignment of this structure to the transient is not consistent with the kinetic results discussed above, e.g., isotope effect and concentration dependence of some of the minor products. In addition, it is unlikely that the resonance assigned to  $Ac_2O$  could, in fact, be due to the acyl  $CH_3$  of IN1. First, the ratio of intensities is not 2 to 1. Second, the Ac<sub>2</sub>O signal remains long after the transient has disappeared. Third, the time period for this disappearance is similar to that observed when Ac2O is mixed with Me2SO. Thus, the chlorosulfonium salt seems more consistent with the experimental results than IN1 does. Furthermore, this type of salt has been suggested to be the intermediate isolated when sulfuryl chloride is allowed to react with an aryl methyl sulfide below 0 °C.<sup>2b</sup>

As mentioned above, the line width of the transient signal depends on the experimental conditions. Thus, it increases as the initial concentration of reactants is increased. The fact that the line width for each of the other signals remains unaffected by concentration changes indicates that the protons in the transient signal are undergoing an exchange process. The nature of this exchange process cannot be expressed conclusively. However, since the line width of the transient also increases as the concentration of HC1 is increased, one possible explanation is that the methyl protons of the chlorosulfonium salt are exchanging with those of  $(CH_3)_2S(OH)^+$  $Cl^-$  via a mechanism in which the OH group is replaced by Cl. The details of this mechanism must await the results of further investigation.

Since the presence of HC1 alters the overall rate of reaction, it probably alters the mechanism in Scheme I to some extent. Thus, the initial increase in rate observed in the presence of small amounts of HCl could result from protonation **of** IN1 as well as trapping IN2. The retardation at higher concentrations of HCL may be due to a substantial reduction in the amount of unprotonated Me2SO and, therefore, a reduction in the amount of IN1 since it is in equilibrium with the reactants.

Because of tne low polarity of the solvents (as indicated by their dielectric constants), salts are expected to exist in the form of ion pairs or larger ion aggregates. For this reason, the sulfonium salts are indicated to be ion pairs in Scheme I. The polarity of the solvent also appears to affect the rate of reaction. Thus, methylene chloride, which has a larger dielectric constant than benzene (9.08 vs. **2.26)** has a rate constant that is smaller by about a factor of **2** than the one for benzene. The proposed mechanism is consistent with this result, since the charge is destroyed when AcOH and CMMS are formed. However, a more extensive solvent study is needed to test this proposal. In addition, on the basis of the above discussion, it is clear that the reaction is not cleanly second order except at low concentration for which the minor products cannot be observed. However, even at the higher concentrations, the contribution made by the minor reaction paths is sufficently small to make a second-order fit possible. Finally, as also noted by other workers,<sup>9</sup> CMMS reacts with Me<sub>2</sub>SO. Since this reaction is slower than the one involving  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$  and AcCl, it, therefore, was not studied.

Finally, because of the relatively low concentrations employed, no precautions were necessary. However, concentrated solutions of MezSO plus AcCl have been known to explode.la

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the National Research Council of Canada for support of this study.

**Registry No.**—Me<sub>2</sub>SO, 67-68-5; AcCl, 75-36-5; AcOH, 64-19-7; CMMS, 23372-58-9; AMMS, 16437-69-7; Ac<sub>2</sub>O, 108-24-7.

### References and Notes

- (1) (a) Present address: Department of Chemistry, EWHA Women's University, Seoul, Korea; (b) H. Harry Szmant, in "Dimethyl Sulfoxide", S. Jacob, E. Rosenbaum and D. Wood, Ed., Marcel Dekker, New York, N.Y., **1971,**  Chapter I.
- **(2)** (a) E. Amonoo-Neizer, S. Ray, **R.** Shaw, and B. Smith, *J. Chem.* **Soc., 6250 (1965);** (b) **F.** Bordwell and 8. Pitt, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **77, 572 (1955);** (c)
- R. Michelot and B. Tchoubar, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, 3039 (1966).<br>(3) (a) M. Cocivera and A. Effio, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 98, 7371 (1976); (b) C. A. Fyfe, M. Cocivera, S. Damji, T. Hostetter, D. Sproat, and J. O'Brien, *J, Magn.* Reson., **23, 377 (1976).**
- **(4)** MezSO-ds was used instead of Me2S0 to avoid overlap of the AcOH signal with those of MezSO and CMMS. (5) R. Boyle. *J. Org. Chem.,* **31, 3880 (1966). (6)** C. Johnson and J. Rigan, *J. Am.* Chem. *Soc.,* **91, 5398 (1969).**
- 
- 
- **(7)** This ratio is calculated at a time in the reaction when AcCl and AcOH have equal concentrations using the equilibrium constant  $(K = 2.2 \times 10^{-3})$  and assuming the approach to equilibrium is instantaneous, which is not the case. Equilibration takes approximately 5 min with comparable concentrations of AcCl and AcOH whereas the reaction between Me<sub>2</sub>SO and AcCl is essentially over in about **3** min at these concentrations. In addition, this ratio is probably slightly overestimated, since HCI is also generated in the step that produces AMMS.<br>(8) The downfield shift of the Me<sub>2</sub>SO signal during the reaction is consistent
- with the formation of HCi, since addition of HCI causes a downfield shift.
- **(9)** R. Ratz and 0. Sweeting, *Tetrahedron* Len., **529 (1963);** *J. Org. Chem.,* **28, 1612 (1963).**
- **(IO) S.** A. Heininger and J. Dazzi, *Chem Eng News.* **35(9), 87 (1957)**